

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,343	03/11/2004	Kurt E. Spears	10011893-6	9926
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			DONG, DALEI	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2879	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(mi			
Office Action Summary		10/800,343	SPEARS, KURT E.	(1			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dalei Dong	2879	·			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	;			
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by teply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I statute, cause the application to becom	ly a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 June <u>2005</u> .					
,—							
, —							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 20-29 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and contents.	hdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abe orrection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received i priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stag	∙e			
Attachment	t(s)						
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1			

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed June 20, 2005 has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner. The Amendment filed June 20, 2005, overcome the Non-Final Rejection issued on March 16, 2005, and a new rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,512,798 to Honda of record in view of Japan Patent No. 7-21820 to Kojima of record.

Regarding to claim 20, Honda discloses in Figures 1-5, an illumination source comprising: a linear tube (1) having a continuous non-uniform distribution of a luminescent substance (6) disposed thereon.

However, Honda does not specifically disclose the non-uniform distribution luminescent substance produce a non-uniform radiation intensity profile along a length of the linear tube.

Kojima teaches the difference in thickness of the luminescent substance produces different radiation intensity.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the continuous non-uniform luminescent substance of Honda to produce a non-uniform radiation intensity profile along a length of the linear tube.

Regarding to claim 21, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the distribution having a greater density at opposite ends (shown in Figure 4) of the tube (12), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 22, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the tube (12) having an increasing distribution density measured outwardly from a midpoint (shown in Figure 4) of the tube (12), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 23, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the tube (12) having a non-linear distribution of the luminescent substance (14) disposed thereon, and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 24, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the tube (12) having a generally constant distribution density about a midsection thereof, and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding to claim 25, Honda discloses in Figures 1-5, an illumination source manufacturing method comprising: forming a continuous, non-uniform distribution of a luminescent substance (6) on a linear tube (1).

However, Honda does not specifically disclose the non-uniform distribution luminescent substance produce a non-uniform radiation intensity profile along a length of the linear tube.

Kojima teaches the difference in thickness of the luminescent substance produces different radiation intensity.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the continuous non-uniform luminescent substance of Honda to produce a non-uniform radiation intensity profile along a length of the linear tube.

Regarding to claim 26, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the distribution comprises forming the distribution having a greater density at opposite ends (shown in Figure 4) of the tube (12), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 27, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the distribution comprises forming an increasing distribution density measured outwardly from a midpoint of the tube (12), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,343

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding to claim 28, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the distribution comprises forming a non-linear distribution, and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Regarding to claim 29, Kojima discloses in Figures 1-5, the distribution comprises forming a generally constant distribution density about a midsection of the tube (12), and the motivation to combine is the same as above.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/800,343

Art Unit: 2879

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dalei Dong whose telephone number is (571)272-2370. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on (571)272-2457. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.D.

July 9, 2005

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art unit 2879

prophy llian